Feedback from:		Student/ Parent/	Alumni / Faculty		
Name:		Dr. Clayton	Dr. Clayton Michael Forman Aset - Preference		
Reg. No:-		Aut - Prepu	mell		
Course:		P.a. q Remoh	P.a. q Reach Dept. of s. Wk.		
Phone Number:		9042147	90421 47007		
Email:		clayton @ 5	clayton & shetpt. edi		
	Theory and Practical:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory		
2. Availability of Tax	ethooles / Studen to dele				
Excellent	xtbooks / Study materials:		Catin Cantage		
Execution	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory		
3. Coverage of Mode	ern / Advanced Topics:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory		
	, , , , , ,		Satisfactory		
4. Do the subjects sa	tisfy ones need?		4.70		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	C-+: C		
		0000	Satisfactory		
5. Usage of Technolo	gy and Teaching Aids:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Cotinf		
		3000	Satisfactory		
6. Students Follow up	p:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Coties		
			Satisfactory		
7. Usefulness of Tests	and Assignments:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good			
			Satisfactory		
8. Overall rating duri	ing the programme of study				
Excellent	Very Good				
	Tely Good	Good	Satisfactory		
9 The prescribed cur	riculum design helped you (to and I	and to ty		
Yes	Treatain design neiped you	to gain knowledge?			
/].165		No			
10 lo 16 a - 1					
Yes Yes	structure relevant to the pr	ogress higher education?			
res		No			

It is the correspondence design applicable to real life situ	ation h	
2		
12. Has the curriculum structure kindled research ap-	itude"	
10-	No	
13. Is the corriculum structure helpful for you to adap	of yourself to your	rareer!
10	No	
14. Whether the Curriculum is helpful in making you	as an Entrepreneu	7
Aprec Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree
	all and the second	
15. New subjects to be added to the proposed curriculum:	_	
16. Subjects to be removed from the present curriculum:	-	
17. Are there topics that should be added to the subject	1?	
Subjects Name:		
Topics to be added.		
18. Whether you have done project/ Internship/ Inplan	nt Training?	
¥es -	No	
If Yes:		
19. General suggestions for improvement of the curric	ulum:	

Name & Signature
Dr. Clay la Nichael Fara

Feedback from:		Student Parent Alumni / Faculty		
Name:		J. Andrews Raja		
Reg. No:				
Course:		MSN		
Phone Number:				
Email:				
1.Synchronization of Theo	ry and Practical:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
2. Availability of Textbook	s / Study materials:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
3. Coverage of Modern / A	dvanced Topics:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
4. Do the subjects satisfy or	nes need?			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
Excencia	1 Tray taxx	1 John	(Automatica)	
C. N CT. As-law and	Touching Aids:			
5. Usage of Technology and Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
Excellent	1 very conce	1 1 energy	1 South Control of the Control of th	
6. Students Follow up:				
The second secon	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
Excellent	1 very cools	T Torong	I constitution and a	
7. Usefulness of Tests and A				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
8. Overall rating during the	programme of study:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
9. The prescribed curriculu	un design helped you to gain	n knowledge?		
Yes / No				
10 1-0		Nisher olympia 2		
10. Is the curriculum struct	ure resevant to the progress			
Yes		No		

11. Is the curriculum design applicable to real life situa	tion?			
Yes	No			
12. Has the curriculum structure kindled research apti-	tude?			
Yes	No			
13. Is the curriculum structure helpful for you to adapt	t yourself to your caree	er?		
Yes	No			
14. Whether the Curriculum is helpful in making you a	is an Entrepreneur?			
Agree Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree		
15. New subjects to be added to the proposed curriculum:				
curriculum:				
16. Subjects to be removed from the present				
curriculum:	_			
17. Are there topics that should be added to the subject	t?			
Subjects Name:				
Topics to be added: —				
18. Whether you have done project/ Internship/ Inplant	t Training?			
Yes	No -			
If Yes:				
19. General suggestions for improvement of the curriculum:				

5. Andrews Res Name & Signature

Feedback from:		Student/Parent/Alumni / Faculty				
Nan	ne: Morecson	7	hairiyan			
Reg	. No:					
Cou	rse:					
Pho	ne Number:					
Ema	nil:					
1.Sv	nchronization of Theor	v a	nd Practical:			
	Excellent		Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
2. A	vailability of Textbook	s / S	Study materials:			
	Excellent		Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
3. C	overage of Modern / A	dva	nced Topics:			
/	Excellent		Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
4. D	o the subjects satisfy or	ies	need?			
	Excellent		Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
5. U	sage of Technology and	Te	aching Aids:			
	Excellent		Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
6. St	tudents Follow up:					
	Excellent	-	Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
7. U	sefulness of Tests and A	ssi	gnments:			
	Excellent	/	Very Good		Good	Satisfactory
8. O	verall rating during the	pr	ogramme of study:			
	Excellent				Good	Satisfactory
	LACCHEIL					
от	he prescribed curriculu	m	lesign helped you to gain	kno	owledge?	
1.1		-	regign nerpea years gara		No	
	Yes					
10	(= 4h a amuniambum at mat		valouant to the progress	hial	her education?	
10. 1	Yes	ure	relevant to the progress	nigi	No	
/	168				1,0	

the best commentence	hesign applicable to real life sin	antion?	
1/11		161	
13. Has the constrainm	a structure kindled research ap	titude*	
160		No	
Manufacture which fit	Avandario habidil din can ta a la		
161	tructure helpful for you to ada	bi tonizen io toni ca	iner
14. If herher the Chite	iculum is helpful in making you	as an Entrepreneur's	And the second s
fine	maky full	Neutral	Disagree
13. You subjects to be curriculum:	added to the proposed	-	
to Subjects to be rem curriculum:	oved from the present	-	
17. Are there topics th	at should be added to the subje	445	
Superior Lannel			
Topics to be added:			
W Whathan rough have	done project/ Internship/ Inpla	në Trainina	
100 to meeting how mare	aone profess timernsuly ridge	No	
WYer			
19. General suggestion	is for improvement of the curri	eulum:	

Barch:

Molecus Theorison
Name & Signature

Feedback from:		Student/ Parent/ Alumni / Faculty			
Name:		Dr. k Ave	Dr. k. Arockia Raj		
Reg.	No:				
Cou			Social 1	vole.	
	ne Number:		9787370176		
Ema				y kegmalo	
1.Sy	nchronization of Theory	and Practical:			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
2. A	vailability of Textbooks /				
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
3. C	overage of Modern / Adv	anced Topics:			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
4. D	o the subjects satisfy one	s need?			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
5 U	sage of Technology and	Teaching Aids:			
5. 0.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
	Biceren				
6. St	udents Follow up:				
	Excellent	Very Good /	Good	Satisfactory	
7. Us	sefulness of Tests and As	signments:			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
0.0	warnell wating during the	programme of study:			
SHE WAS THE	verall rating during the p			1 10 10	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
9. T	he prescribed curriculum	design helped you to gain	ı knowledge?		
	Yes No				
10.1	s the curriculum structu	re relevant to the progress	higher education?		
	Yes /		No		

11. Is the curriculum design applicable to real life situ					
Yes	No				
12. Has the curriculum structure kindled research ap	No				
103	110				
13. Is the curriculum structure helpful for you to adap	ot yourself to your career?				
Yes	No				
14. Whether the Curriculum is helpful in making you	as an Entrepreneur?				
Agree Strongly Agree	Neutral Disagree				
15. New subjects to be added to the proposed curriculum:	USE 9 AI in Somal worke				
16. Subjects to be removed from the present curriculum:	16. Subjects to be removed from the present				
17. Are there topics that should be added to the subject	ct?				
Subjects Name:					
Topics to be added: Smerging fres	do the added				
18. Whether you have done project/ Internship/ Inpla	nt Training?				
Yes	No ×				
If Yes:					
19. General suggestions for improvement of the curric	culum:				

Name & Signature C- Arockia Ry

Feedback from:		Student/ Parent/ Alumni / Facally		
Name:		Dr. C.R. Christi Anauda		
Reg. No:		_		
Course:		encial i	Dorle	
Phone Number:		98417211	Social Work	
Email:		chonery amond agreet to		
1.Synchronization of Theor	y and Practical:	9		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
2. Availability of Textbook				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
3. Coverage of Modern / Ad	dvanced Topics:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
4. Do the subjects satisfy or				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
5. Usage of Technology and	Teaching Aids:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
6. Students Follow up:				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
7. Usefulness of Tests and A	Assignments:			
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
			- Committee of y	
8. Overall rating during the	programme of study:			
		Cood	CartaGart	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	
9. The prescribed curriculu	m design helped you to gain	knowledge?		
Yes		No		
10. Is the curriculum struct	ure relevant to the progress	higher education?		
Yes	and the progress	No No		

11. Is the curriculum design app	licable to real life situa	tion?		
Yes		No		
12. Has the curriculum structure	e kindled research apti	1		
Yes		No		
13. Is the curriculum structure h	ielpful for you to adapt		er?	
? Tes		No		
14. Whether the Curriculum is h	nelpful in making you a	s an Entrepreneur?		
Agree	Strongly Agree	Neutral	Disagree	
15. New subjects to be added to curriculum:	the proposed			
16. Subjects to be removed from the present curriculum:				
17. Are there topics that should	be added to the subject	?		
Subjects Name:				
Topics to be added:				
18. Whether you have done proj	aat/Intownshin/Innlan	t Training?		
Yes	ect/ internship/ inplan	No No		
If Yes:			1 2 2	
mee ma	under or	Agencier 3	ce coverage	
19. General suggestions for impr	rovement of the currier	lum.		
19. General suggestions for impr	ovement of the curricu	ium.		

Name & Signature
Dr C. R. Christi Anaudan